Application: AEF-A.3 Cantilever beam with singularities

KEY WORDS

Static linear analysis, Planar geometric model, Plane stress state, Linear material, Planar 2D finite element, Linear finite element, Parabolic finite element, Machine element, Checking with classical models, Cantilever beam, Singularities

CONTENT

A. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION
B. THE FEA MODEL
C. PREPROCESSING OF THE FEA MODEL
D. SOLVING THE FEA MODEL
E. POSTPROCESING OF THE RESULTS
F. ANALYZING OF THE RESULTS
G. CONCLUSIONS

A. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

A.1 Introduction

In many training situations to solve AEF problems, especially as a beginner or initiating a new AEF platform, it is recommended to solve simple problems that are reduced to classic models with known analytical solutions but also by highlighting the not recommended extreme situations (e.g. singularities) in the current practical applications.

The main objective of this application involves the development of an AEF for a rectangular beam embedded on a contour, comparing the results with the classical analytical ones and highlighting the effects of the singularities involved by the theoretical cases of concentration of stresss in the zones of fillet with zero radius and in the zones of action of the concentrated forces on reduced surfaces at a point or a line.

A.2 Application description

In the structure of the support device below, the elastic support element 1, firmly positioned in the body 2 through the bars 4 and the screws 5, must ensure a displacement imposed under the action of the press force F, developed by the slide 3, and return to the initial state after its cancellation.



A.3 The application goal

In this application, the analysis of the displacement, deformation and stress fields of the support element 1 made of C55 steel and with the following dimensions is followed: L = 100, h = 10 mm, g = 10 mm, a = 50 mm, b = 20 mm. Starting from the fact that the element 1 has a constant constant thickness and the load with F = 1000 N is uniformly produced in width, it is emphasized that the problem is classified in the plane state of stresses (the stresses are invariable in thickness) and, therefore, the analysis with finite elements will be make customizations for this case. In addition, compared to the analysis from the application of AEF-A2 the effects of the singularities of concentration of stresss in the "sharp" (null radius) and action areas of the "needle" (point) or "knife" (on the line) forces will be studied.

B. THE FEA MODEL

B.1 The model definition

In order to compare the results obtained by the finite element analysis with the classical solution model based on the material resistance methods (embedded beam), the most simplified possible model is adopted which implies:

- simple geometric shape,
- adoption of material strengthens constraints (embedding)
- the loads are concentrated,
- the material has a linear behaviour

B.2 The analysis model description

Because the structure can be included in the AEF plane stress state, it can be modelled in plane, considering the rectangular geometric shape 100 mm long and 10 mm wide with 2D finite elements.

Geometric constraints involving cancellations of translation and rotation relative to the X, Y and Z-axes, respectively, apply to the points on the Y-axis edge. Load the model with the concentrated force F = 1000 N in the far upper corner.



For AEF, the strength characteristics of the C55 steel are:

- longitudinal modulus of elasticity, $E = 210000 \text{ N} / \text{mm}^2$;
- Poisson's ratio, v = 0,3.

Average working temperature of the subassembly, $T_0 = 20 \circ C$.

C. PREPROCESSING OF FEA MODEL

C.1 Creating, setting and saving the project					
<u>Creating of the project</u> ∧ Unsaved Project - Workbench: Toolbox: , I					
modules appears automatically); [change name, Static Structural]. <u>Setting of problem type (2D)</u> ↓ Geometry → ↓ Properties of Schematic A3: Geometry □ Advanced Geometry Options					
\downarrow Analysis Type, [selecting from drop down list $\downarrow \square$, $\downarrow 2D$] \rightarrow [close the window $\downarrow \blacksquare$].					
Saving of the project $\downarrow \boxtimes Save As \rightarrow \land Save As$, File name: [enter name, AEF-A.3] $\rightarrow \downarrow \square$					

C.2 Modelling of material and environment characteristics				
\wedge \rightarrow Project Schematic \rightarrow \downarrow \checkmark Engineering Data \checkmark \downarrow \rightarrow \downarrow \checkmark Edit \rightarrow Outline of Schematic A2: Engineering Data \cdot				
🗞 Structural Steel , Properties of Outline Row 3: Structural Steel : 🖃 🔀 Isotropic Elasticity 🛶 Young's Modulus , [selecting]				
from drop down list C (Unit) cu / with $\downarrow \checkmark$, $\downarrow \checkmark$], [enter in column B (Unit) valoarea, 210000] $\rightarrow \downarrow$				
\checkmark Update Project $\rightarrow \downarrow \bigcirc$ Return to Project (others parameters are default).				





C.4. Finite element modelling					
C4.1 Launching the finite element modelling module and setting the problem type, material					
characteristics, and unit system					
Launching the modelling module with finite elements					
\land \rightarrow Project Schematic $\rightarrow \downarrow \textcircled{solution}$ Model $\rightarrow \downarrow \textcircled{solution}$ Edit \rightarrow [launching the Mechanical [ANSYS Multiphysics].					
Setting the type of the problem					
$\Theta \rightarrow Outline \rightarrow \Box \oplus I \oplus I$ Geometry $\rightarrow Details of "Geometry", \Box Definition : 2D Behavior, [select from list, \Box, \Box$					
Plane Stress (default settings)].					
Setting the material characteristics					
Outline 🛶 🛛 🕀 🛶 🖓 Geometry 🛶 🖵 🖘 🖾 Suprafață bară 🛶 Details of "Suprafață bară": 🛛 Material : Assignment , [is selected					
from the list , , , Structural Steel] (usually, when there is only one material, this setting is default).					
Setting the units					
$\Theta \rightarrow \Box$ Units $\rightarrow \Box$ Metric (mm, kg, N, s, mV, mA)					
C.4.2 Model meshing					



, Geometry → ↓ (activating line selection filter) → [selecting with Ctrl+↓ fixed edges] → ↓ Apply.						
C.4.4 Loads modelling						
$\begin{array}{c} \\ & \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$						
C.4.5 Saving the project						
Mile → Save Project						

D. SOLVING THE AEF MODEL





E. POST-PROCESSING OF RESULTS



E.2. Viewing stress field	
E.2.1 Viewing the displacement field normal at the X axis	







F. RESULTS ANALYSIS

F.1 The theoretical (analytical) calculation model

The classical analytical studies on the analysis structure (cantilever beam) are synthesized in the calculation of the following parameters (see application of AEF-A.1 subchapter F.1): maximum displacement, $\delta = 2$ mm, maximum normal bending tension (according to Navier's relation), $\sigma_{\hat{i}} = 600$ MPa, the maximum shear tangential stress (according to Juravschi's relation), $\tau_f = 15$ MPa.

F.2 Comparison and evaluation of results

Following the analysis of the results obtained as a result of the modeling and AEF (subchapter E) and the use of the classical computational relations (subcap. E and F.1) obtained under the conditions of the materials strength hypotheses, the following are highlighted:

- The maximum total displacement, 2,179 mm (case I) or 2,216 mm (case II), obtained with AEF (E.1), is almost equal to the displacement (2 mm) obtained from the theoretical analytical model (subchapter F.1).
- The maximum normal stress in the X direction, -563.2 MPa (case I) or 746.2 MPa, obtained by finite element analysis (subchapter E.2.2) has a deviation of -6% (case I) or 24.36 % MPa (case II) against the maximum normal stress (600 MPa) theoretical (subchapter F.1).
- The shear stress distribution (E.2.3) shows maximum values, 47.44 MPa (case I) or 101.75 MPa (case II), in the recessed area is 3.12 times (case I) or 6.78 or (case II) against the theoretical value, 15 MPa.
- The equivalent stress (von Mises) has the maximum value, 571.1 MPa (case I) or 836.72 MPa (case II) in the compressed and stretched area, respectively; it is observed that with the increase of the meshing fineness (case II) the value of the equivalent stress (von Mises) deviates by 39.4% due to the corner singularity (connection with null radius).

F.3 Accuracy analysis based on structural error

In subchapter. E.2.3 the structural error with the maximum value of 11.96 mJ (case I) or 1,443 mJ (case II) is highlighted; the maximum value in case I shows maximum errors of the stress in the fixing area.

The structural error is determined as the difference of the deformation energies calculated using the average stresses associated with the finite element and the nodal stresses. The fineness of increased discretization leads

to reduced structural error values and, therefore, it can be used on the one hand, as a global indicator of the discretization fineness, in the rediscretion of the entire structure and, on the other, as a local indicator of meshing fineness at local rediscretion.

In order to assess the accuracy of the stress type results, the field of structural error is analyzed, following a uniform distribution with reduced (preferably subunit) values of the structural error for acceptable accuracies; the areas where the structural error is increased in order to increase the precision of the results (the decrease of the structural error) will be made local rediscretion (subchapter E.2.3).

F.4 Analysis of convergence on X axis

In order to highlight the effects of corner singularities (zero radius fillet) and concentrated force (point action), the model will be analyzed with various meshings, following the values of normal stress in the X direction, especially in the areas with singularities. For this purpose, the succession of modifying the fineness of meshing at the global level will be followed (the second order finite element set above will be kept):

 \downarrow Mesh \rightarrow Details of "Mesh", \Box Sizing: Use Advanced Size Function, [se selectează din listă cu / selecting from the list with \downarrow , \downarrow Off];

 $\square Element Size, [se introduce valoarea dimensionii elementului finit conform coloanei întâi din tabelul de mai jos / input the finite element size value according to the first column in the table below]. <math display="block"> \square \sqrt{@} Mesh \rightarrow \square etails of "Mesh", \blacksquare Statistics: [se evidențiază numărul de noduri din caseta / showing nodes number, \square Nodes (coloana a treia / third column) și numărul de elemente number of elements, \square Elements (coloana a doua / second column)].$

Dimension	Number of			
			/Normal stress	Structural error
EF [mm]	EF	Number of nodes	[MPa]	[mJ]
5	80	309	572,96	9,3176
4	140	50	570,96	7,1435
3	258	891	577,32	4,712
2	500	1671	605,4	2,99
1	2000	6341	757,07	1,389
0,75	3200	11386	847,47	1,0124
0,5	8000	24681	990,35	0,651
0,25	32000	97361	1306,7	0,5
0,125	128000	386721	1737,1	0,5
0,1	200000	603401	1906,5	0,5

At the corner point with singularity of the normal stress, its values increase with the increase of the number of nodes (there is no asymptote to tend to). The structural error decreases with the increase of the discretization fineness but at higher values of the nodes it has low values and it remains quasi-constant and the values of the normal stress increase non-asymptotically, which demonstrates the inconsistency of the process in the corner area with singularity (fig. A, c). The same situation specific to the singularity of the stress is observed in the area of application of the concentrated force (fig. C)

G. CONCLUSIONS

The modeling and analysis with finite elements of this paper were done more with didactic purpose aiming, on the one hand, the initiation of the user with the main stages of developing an application of AEF in ANSYS Workbench and, on the other hand, the comparison. and evaluating the results obtained from AEF with different shapes and sizes of finite elements.

The adopted AEF model leads to coarse deviations from the exact solution for the linear triangular finite element as opposed to the quadratic finite element model which shows a convergence with very small deviations.

The analysis of the results, in particular, of the stresss, for discretizations with increased fineness, shows that in the area with t singularities, although the structural error decreases to allowable values which would show a good accuracy, the values of the stresss do not converge towards the cvasiexact value, but they grow nonasymptotically.

The AEF model studied in this paper is inefficient in terms of modeling possibilities offered by the ANSYS platform because the connection area in the recess is null radius (theoretical case) and the force is concentrated at one point (also theoretical case). These aspects are avoided in the application of AEF-A.2.