Application: AEF-A.15 Self-induced vibration modes

KEY WORDS

Linear static analysis, modal analysis, eigenfrequencies, eigenmodes, eigenmodes, planar geometric model, linear material, 1D finite element, linear finite element

CONTENT

A. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION
B. THE FEA MODEL
C. PREPROCESSING OF THE FEA MODEL
D. SOLVING THE FEA MODEL
E. POSTPROCESING OF THE RESULTS
F. ANALYZING OF THE RESULTS
G. CONCLUSIONS

A. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

A.1 Introduction

In many practical situations for the design of complex mechanical systems it is necessary to know the own frequencies and modes of vibration of some components or even of the whole. These parameters, invariable with time, determined in the conditions of observing the equilibrium configuration, are intimate characteristics of the analyzed structure depending on the shape, dimensions and material.

The determination of the frequencies and of the own vibration nodes of the mechanical components or structures can be done by means of the modal analysis. Natural frequencies and vibration modes are very important parameters for the design phase because they provide information about the dynamic behavior of the analyzed structures. The modal analysis within the ANSYS program is a linear analysis. Any nonlinearity such as plasticity and contact elements is ignored, even if it is defined. Modal analysis is used to calculate the natural frequencies and modes of deformation of the structure.

A.2 Application description

Bridges made of lattice beams are characterized by high rigidity, being generally made of elements made of steel. Identifying your own modes and frequencies of vibration is particularly important to take into account in the design process the values of the frequencies of certain demands: natural (earthquakes, strong winds) or artificial (induction of vibrations by vehicles crossing the bridge) to avoid total or partial destruction of the structure. At the same time, because tensions can occur in the structure at temperature variations, the bridge is fixed at one end by means of a rotating joint with a bolt and at the other end it is supported and guided allowing translation.



A.3 Application goal

The purpose of this application is to identify its own modes and frequencies of vibration for the bridge-type structure of lattice beams in order to avoid its resonance phenomena. The displacement fields for each vibration mode will be presented in order to optimize the construction of the structure, respectively to minimize its weight in compliance with the deformation and strength restrictions.

B. PREPARATION OF THE MODEL FOR ANALYSIS

B.1 The model definition

The modal solution is obtained following a modal analysis which consists in completing the following steps:

- model construction;
- applying loads and obtaining the solution through structural analysis;
- expanding modes;
- viewing the results.

In order to draw up the finite element analysis model associated with the above application, it is necessary to identify:

- geometric shape and dimensions,
- restrictions induced by links with adjacent elements,
- external and internal loads (own weight),
- material characteristics.

B.2 The analysis model description

The basic equation solved in a typical unamortized modal analysis typical for the ANSYS program is given by the classical problem of eigenvalues:

 $[K] = \omega i^2 [M]$

where [K] is the stiffness matrix; is the shape vector (eigenvector) of mode i;

 ω_i is the natural frequency of mode i (ω_i^2 is the eigenvalue); [M] is the mass matrix.

Among the methods for solving this equation, recommended in the ANSYS program, the Lanczos vectors method will be used in this paper. The static stresses of the bridge-type mechanical structures can be overlapped by the dynamic stresses which, together with the static ones, can cause the destruction of this part. One of the dynamic stresses to which a bridge is subjected is the stress due to vibrations caused by various causes during use (passing of people, vehicles, vibrations due to machinery or work equipment, weather stresses - strong wind, etc.).

The mechanical structure studied in this paper is considered independent, without mechanical connections and other constraints. This method of calculation was approached because the modeling of related elements would lead to large dimensions of finite element models, which would have a negative effect on the accuracy of the results. Thus, the modal analysis of the mechanical structure will be performed in order to obtain indications on the occurrence of the resonance phenomenon.

B.3 Characteristics of the material

For finite element analysis the strength characteristics of the material, S235 steel (equivalent to OL 37) are:

- modulus of longitudinal elasticity, $E = 204,000 \text{ N} / \text{mm}^2$;
- transverse contraction coefficient (Poisson), v = 0.3.

C. PREPROCESSING OF FEA MODEL

C.1 Creating and saving the project

Creating the project

The drawing made in the previous application "*Linear static analysis of bar structures*" will be taken over. In order to take over a geometry previously made in another analysis, the following commands will be executed, in the order presented:





<u>Assigning a transverse profile to the metal structure</u> In terms of section geometry, the newly created segments will have the same properties as the original bea	am.
The beam imported from the previous analysis is assigned a profile, the procedure is as follows:	
$0 \longrightarrow 1 \xrightarrow{\text{Line Body}} \rightarrow \text{Details View} \rightarrow \text{Details of Line Body} \rightarrow \text{Cross Section} \blacksquare$: Teava_rect_80x802	x5;
Modeling \rightarrow View \rightarrow Cross Section Solids [the 3D section view option is activated] \rightarrow check that the	
profiles are oriented correctly; the profile orientation is displayed using green arrows. If the profile is not	
symmetrical and is not project oriented, the orientation can be changed accordingly: \rightarrow	
$ \begin{array}{c} \hline \textbf{Details View} \\ \hline \textbf{O} \\ \hline \textbf{C} \hline \hline \textbf{C} \hline $	ate
Saving the geometric model	
$\square \rightarrow \square$ (Save Project) $\rightarrow \underline{File} \rightarrow Close Design Modeler.$	
$\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{L}$ \mathbb{W} Geometry $\mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{P}$ roperties $\to \mathbb{P}$ roperties of Schematic A3: Geometry $\to \mathbb{A}$ dvanced Geometry	y
Options \rightarrow Analysis Type \square : 3D \rightarrow Save.	



Details	s of "Body Sizir	ng" - Sizing	Ф.	
- Sc	оре			
Sco	oping Method	Geometry Selection		
Ge	ometry	1 Body		
🖃 De	efinition			
Su	ppressed	No		
Ту	pe	Element Size		
	Element Size	Default		$\langle \rangle \rangle$
Bel	havior	Soft		
Bod 12.0	Body Sizing			
Input vostvo	nint	C.5 Supports and	restraints mode	lling
$\boxed{100} \rightarrow 1$	<u>enni</u> Static !	Structural (B5) $\rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{k}$ Supports $\bullet \rightarrow$	🔍 Remote Displace	ment \rightarrow Details of "Remote Displacement" \rightarrow
Scope \rightarrow G	eometry:	will select, with →, holding down	n the Ctrl key, po	ints P1 and P1', using the selection filter
(Vertex	$(x) \rightarrow Apr$	Div Definition $\rightarrow X$ Component	t 0 Y Compone	ent: 0 Z Component: 0 Rotation X: 0
Rotation Y:	0. Rotatio	on Z: Free	u o, r compon	
Will repeat	the action	s for points P5 si P5'		
M		Structural (B5)	Remote Displacer	ment Dataila a fi ^a D accela Disala accest
$ \longrightarrow $	/		W Remote Dispidcer	\rightarrow Details of Remote Displacement \rightarrow
Scope \rightarrow G	eometry: [will select, with $ \downarrow $, holding down	n the Ctrl key, po	ints P5 and P5', using the selection filter
(Vertex Rotation Y:	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{x} (t) = 0 \\ \textbf{x} (t) = 0, \\ \textbf{Rotation} \\ \textbf{x} (t) = 0 \\$	ly; Definition \rightarrow X Component: on Z: Free.	Free, Y Compo	nent: 0, Z Component: 0, Rotation X: 0,
A: Ferma Remote Dis Time: 1, s 18.05.2014 Remote Compo Rotatic	1D ;placement 2 4 19:35 :e Displacement 2 onents: Free, 0,, on: 0,, 0,, Free ° on: 0,, 0,, 750, m	0, mm	A: Ferma 1D Remote Displacement Time: 1, s 18.05.2014 19:34 Remote Displacemen Components: 0,, 0, Rotation: 0,, 0,, Fre Location: 8000,, 0,,	nt , 0, mm ee ° 750, mm



A: Ferma 1D			
Static Structural			
Time: 1, s			
18.05.2014 19:37	B - D		
A Remote Displacem	ent		
B Remote Displacem	ent 2		
D Standard Earth Gr	avity: 9806.6 mm/s²		□

D. SOLVING THE FEA MODEL

D.1 Select the types of results
In order to select the final data types to be analyzed after the launch of the calculation module, the series of
commands presented below will be followed.
$\bigcirc \rightarrow \downarrow - $
open with ↓].
The same result can be obtained by using commands:
\downarrow
In order to obtain suggestive results, analyzes will be performed with several types of profiles of the beams
of the metal structure: rectangular pipes with dimensions 80 x 80 x 5 mm, 60 x 60 x 5 mm, 50 x 50 x 5 mm
and profiles I of sections of 3800 mm ² , 950 mm ² , 237.5 mm ² .
D.2 Launching the solving module
$\Theta \rightarrow 1$
Solution (B6)
Solution Information
\sim

E. POST-PROCESSING OF RESULTS

E.1 Viewing the displacement fields
For suggestive results, set the view scale of the menu bars:
Result 8,6e+002 (Auto Scale) Result 1.0 (True Scale)
Total deformation view
\downarrow \checkmark Solution (A6) \rightarrow \checkmark \checkmark Total Deformation \rightarrow Graph \rightarrow Animation \blacktriangleright
If the images are not suggestive enough, in terms of how the work is distorted, you can return to changing the
display scale by selecting a higher value: Result 1,7e+003 (2x Auto) ▼.
Various forms of distorted state representation can be used by calling the <i>Q</i> (Edge) button. Show
Showformed WireFrame will be selected, an option that displays the undeformed and warped models in the
same representation.
The display characteristics can be changed: the number of frames ^{10 Frames} , as well as the running
time of the simulation. At the same time, the result can be saved as a video file using the Export Video File
command 🛄 .
The following are some results of the values of eigenfrequencies and vibration modes for the various profiles
analyzed.



Rectangular pipe	60 x 60 x 5 mm			Mode	Damped Frequency [Hz]
Volume	6,93e+007 mm ³		1	1,	1,9005e-005
Mass	544,01 kg		2	2,	2,9813e-005
Length	63000 mm		4	4,	6,7876
Cross Section	Teavarectangulara60x60x5		5	5,	7,5313
Cross Section Area	1100, mm²		6	6,	8,8938
B: Modal Total Deformation 2 Type: Total Deformation Frequency: 1,4903 Hz		B: Modal Total Deformation 3 Type: Total Deformation Frequency: 6,7876 Hz			







F. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

It is observed that, despite the fact that the modeling of the bar structure was performed with the help of 1D bodies, the results obtained are suggestive, being presented in a 3D environment.

From the point of view of the recorded own frequencies, it can be concluded that with the increase of the beams section, the value of the frequencies will increase, regardless of the transversal profile used. This is observed for both types of profiles analyzed: rectangular profile and for profile I.



For equivalent profiles in terms of the value of the section surfaces, it can be seen that the rectangular profile generates its own vibrations with higher frequencies than the I profile.



From the point of view of the total displacements, it is observed that the maximum values are found in the own vibration modes Mode # 4 or Mode # 5, after which they decrease with the increase of the own vibration frequencies.

Some applications aim to increase the rigidity of a structure or change its own frequencies, based on an existing structure. In this case, for the structure built from profile I3 (with the smallest cross section of the analyzed ones) two modifications are considered: the installation of some sleepers (case 1 - a sleeper, case 2 - 3 sleepers) in the upper part, as follows. It is observed after the analysis of the vibration modes that the values of the own frequencies have changed compared to the original structure in the direction of the increase.



G. CONCLUSIONS

From the point of view of the pre-processing phase, it can be seen that the use of 1D bodies involves minimal resources for both modeling and discretization. Another strong point is that the transverse profile of the sections can be modified / oriented very easily, without influencing the basic shape of the bar structure. Moreover, it is possible to use different profiles for each section. The sections can be connected in several ways, depending on the central axis of the profiles used.

The introduction of supports, constraints and demands is quick and easy. The declaration of the materials, as well as the discretization of the bar structure are controllable processes, which can be done automatically or manually.

The modal analysis of a lattice beam structure is a relatively simple activity, and various modifications of the structure can be made depending on the objectives pursued. Changing the profile of the beam sections and recalculating is done in a very short time.