Application: AEF-A.12 Torsional springs

KEY WORDS

Linear Static Analysis, Linear Material, 1D Geometric Model, 1D Finite Element, Linear Finite Element, CATIA Geometric Modeling, Classical Method Verification, Machine Element

CONTENT

- A. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION
- B. THE FEA MODEL
- C. PREPROCESSING OF THE FEA MODEL
- D. SOLVING THE FEA MODEL
- E. POSTPROCESING OF THE RESULTS
- F. ANALYZING OF THE RESULTS
- G. CONCLUSIONS

A. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

A.1 Introduction

There are mechanical components in many technical products that have distinct compact structures required by the main function to be performed. Representative of this group of components are the elastic elements (springs), the damping elements, the supporting elements (housings), etc. The specificity of these elements, as a rule, is given by their fixed or quasi-fixed connections with the neighboring parts. The finite element analysis of these components, in order to obtain precise results, presupposes the accurate definition of the solid model, of the restrictions imposed by the connections with the neighboring elements, as well as of the loads.

A.2 Application description

Springs are machine elements that, due to the shape and elastic properties of the materials, store the mechanical work of external forces, at deformation, and return it, almost in whole or in part, in the period of return to the original shape.

These cylindrical coil springs are made of round diameter round wire. The introduction of force or torque occurs through the arm at the beginning and end of each spring.

These springs have a linear torque characteristic and can be made by cold or hot forming. There may be various constructive forms, shown in the figure below. They can be used in various applications, some of which are shown in the images below.



A.3 Application goal

In the case of this application, the analysis of the fields of displacements, deformations and tensions of an elastic element of curved bar type from the composition of the devices presented above is presented. The values of the geometric parameters of the spring were taken from the specialized literature, as follows: d = 2.5 mm - the diameter of the coil; $D_m = 50 \text{ mm}$ - average diameter; n = 8.5 turns; $\Delta = 0.5 \text{ mm}$ distance between turns.

B. THE FEA MODEL

B.1 The model definition

In order to draw up the finite element analysis model associated with the above application, it is necessary to identify:

- geometric shape and dimensions,
- restrictions induced by links with adjacent elements,
- external and internal loads (own weight),
- material characteristics.

B.2 The analysis model description

The geometric shape and dimensions of the helical spring are shown in the figure below.



For finite element analysis, the strength characteristics of the 50VCr11A spring steel material treated at 50-55 HRC are:

- modulus of longitudinal elasticity, E = 209,000 N / mm2;
- transverse contraction coefficient (Poisson), v = 0.3.

Creating of the project

C. PREPROCESSING OF FEA MODEL

C.1 Creating and saving the project

N, Toolbox : \Box Analysis Systems $\rightarrow \Box \Box$ Static Structural (the subproject window appears automatically); \rightarrow [the name can be changed Static Structural în / in *Torsional spring*].

Problem type setting (3D)
A: L 🥪 Geometry - Properties - Properties of Schematic A3: Geometry = Advanced Geometry Options : Analysis Type,
[select from the list $\exists \Box$, $\exists D$] \rightarrow [close the window, $\exists X$].
Saving of the project
$ \square \mathbb{R} \text{ Save As} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \text{ Save As}, \text{ File name: [input name, Torsional Spring]} \rightarrow \square \mathbb{Save} $

C.2 Geometric modelling				
C.2.1 Importing the geometric model of the spring				
This application will aim to use a geometric model made in another drawing / design environment. The model				
is made in advance in CATIA v5R21 in the form of a 1D body, with the geometric construction data presented				
in the Model section for analysis. The file, originally saved in the specific format of the CATIA software				
(.catpart) will be saved under the extension of a universal transfer format (.igs or .stp).				
N , Toolbox $ \sqsubseteq $ Geometry $? $				
of the HDD and identify the file Torsiunal Spring-1D.igs) $\rightarrow \downarrow$ (OK);				
Λ , Toolbox $\Box \Box \Box$ Geometry $2 \rightarrow ANSYS$ Workbench: Select desired length unit: $$ Millimeter \rightarrow				
\downarrow (OK) $\rightarrow \textcircled{O} \rightarrow \swarrow$ Generate				
New Geometry ANSYS Workhench				
Import Geometry				
Duplicate				
Transfer Data From New C Centimeter Inch				
Transfer Data To New Millimeter				
✓ Update				
Refresh				
Reset				
Rename ZXPlane Import1				
OK Import1 IPart, 1Body				
Quick Help O Parts, 0 Bodies				
C.2.2 Creating the geometric model in CATIA				

<u>Activating the shape generation module and setting the unit of measure for lengths</u> CATIA \rightarrow Start \rightarrow Shape \rightarrow Generative shape design \rightarrow New part: New part name: Spring.

<u>Tools</u> \rightarrow <u>Options</u>... \rightarrow **Options**: Parameters and Measure; Units; Length, Milimeter (mm); \downarrow OK. <u>Generating of reference points</u>

Point Definition: X -27.50mm, Y 0mm, Z 0mm; \rightarrow OK. [similarly, the coordinates of some auxiliary points are introduced - which will help to achieve the geometry of the arc P2(-32.5,0,0), P3(-44.5,5,0), P4 (32.5, 5, 25.5), P5(44.5, 5, 25.5) and the points P6(0, 0, 0) şi P7(0, 0, 25.5) used to create virtual rigid elements].

Point Definition	🖕 🤯 <u>Arc torsiune</u>		
Point type: Coordinates	🔶 - Point.1		
x = -27.5mm	- Point.2		
	- Point.3		
Reference	🔶 🤚 Point.4		
Point: Default (Origin) Axis System: Default (Absolute)	- Point.5		
Compass Location	🕂 🤚 Point.6	× 24	
OK Cancel Preview	🔶 🗉 Point.7		

Helical spring generation

(Helix) \rightarrow Helix Curve Definition select with the mouse in the graphic area or in the tree structure the point Point.1 for Starting Point and for Axis, with the help of a right click on the selection box choose the OZ axis, then fill in the step values: 3 mm and the height of the spring = 8.5 steps x 3 mm = 25.5 mm], \rightarrow OK. Obs.

The Helix command can be found in the Wireframe menu.





(Line) → [a right segment is constructed from the end of the circle arc previously made at point P5] → Line Definition → Line type: Point to point, Point 1: Point.4, Point 2: Point.5, Support: Plane.1 \downarrow OK

(Corner) \rightarrow [the 5 mm pitch helix is connected to the P1P2 and P4P5 segments with a radius of 5 mm] \rightarrow **Corner Definition** \rightarrow Circle type: Center and point, Center: Point.2, Point: Point.1, Support: xy plane, Start: 0 deg, End: 90deg \rightarrow OK



(**RMB**) \rightarrow X Coordinate = 0, Y Coordinate = 0, Z Coordinate = 0 $\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ Generate.

This point will be used to create a virtual rigid element for the introduction of forces.







C.5 Supports and restraints modelling

Input the gravitational acceleration

Since the weight of the spring is very small (about 58 g), the influence of the weight force (0.56 N) on the analysis results is very small, taking into account the value of the applied torque (which is 1 Nm, which corresponds to a force of of 40 N acting at the helix end point).

$\underbrace{Input \ restraint}_{(A5)}$ $\xrightarrow{\bigcirc} \ \textcircled{Outline}_{(A5)} \xrightarrow{\bigcirc} \ (A5) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \ ($	A: APL-A.4.7. Fixed Support Time: 1, s 18.03.2014 14:43
Details of "Fixed Suports" $ ightarrow$ Scope $ ightarrow$	Fixed Support
Geometry: [select with ↓ the end segment	
of the spring at a height of 25.5 mm, using	
the selection filter $\widehat{\mathbb{I}}$ (Edge)] \rightarrow Apply.	

C.6 Load modeling
Input Remote Moment
$\textcircled{Outline} , \textcircled{i} \rightarrow \textcircled{Outline} $
Geometry: [will be selected with \rightarrow the arc of the connecting circle between the spring propeller and the terminal
segment at dimension 0, using the option $\mathbf{\overline{b}}$ (Edge)] \rightarrow Apply; Definition \rightarrow Magnitude: -1 Nm;
└→ $?$ ⁶ Moment → 🗐 Promote Remote Point → $↓$ $?$ ⁷ Moment - Remote Point → Details of "Moment - Remote Point"
\rightarrow Scope \rightarrow X Coordinate = 0, Y Coordinate = 0, Z Coordinate = 0 [the coordinates of the point P1 (0, 0, 0)]
made previously will be written].
A: APL-A.4.7. Moment Time: 1, s 18.03.2014 15:24 Moment: -1, N'm Components: -0,, -0,, -1, N'm

The constraints and loads of the reso	sort will look like the figure below	
Static Structural (AS) Analysis Settings Fixed Support Moment Solution (A6) Solution Information	A: APL-A.4.7. Static Structural Time: 1, s 18.03.2014 15:26 A Fixed Support B Moment: -1, N'm E	

D. SOLVING THE FEA MODEL

D.1 Setting results
In order to select the final data types to be analyzed after the launch of the calculation module, follow the series
of commands presented below.
$\bigcirc \rightarrow \downarrow \checkmark \bigcirc$ Solution (A6) $\rightarrow $ Insert $\rightarrow $ Deformation $\rightarrow $ Total [use the commands in the open command
box with \vdash].
The same result can be obtained by using the commands:
\mathbf{M} , Outline: $\mathbf{L} \oplus \mathbf{M}$ Solution (A6) $\rightarrow \mathbf{J}$ Insert $\rightarrow \mathbf{J}$ Deformation $\rightarrow \mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{M}}$ Total. [the buttons in the menu bars
are used] and
For this type of structure, the Beam tool can be applied in order to visualize the linearized stresses on the
component elements. It is customary, in the process of designing bar structures, to take into account the
components of axial stresses that arise from the effect of axial and bending loads in all directions. The following
are the other types of results to be analyzed:



E. POST-PROCESSING OF RESULTS

E.1 Viewing the displacement field			
For suggestive results, set the view scale of the menu bars:			
Result 8,6e+002 (Auto Scale) ▼ → Result 1.0 (True Scale) ▼			
Total deformation viewing			
\mathbf{M} , Outline: $\mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{Solution}$ (A6) $\mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{Total Deformation} \rightarrow \mathbf{Tab-ul} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M}$			
If the images are not suggestive enough, in terms of how the work is distorted, you can return to changing the			
display scale by selecting a higher value: Result 1,7e+003 (2x Auto) ▼.			
Various forms of distorted state representation can be used by calling the 🥬 (Edge) button. Show			
Showformed WireFrame will be selected, an option that displays the undeformed and warped models in the			
same representation			
The display characteristics can be changed: the number of frames 10 Frames , as well as the running			
time of the simulation ² Sec (Auto) . At the same time, the result can be saved as a video file using the			
Export Video File command II.			
Total Deformation Type: Total Deformation Unit: m Time: 1 18.03.2014 15:45 0,16654 Max 0,14804 0,12953 0,01103 0,0192523 0,074018 0,037009 0,018505 0 Min			
Visualization of the deformation in one direction			
\mathbf{M} , Outline: $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}$ Solution (A6) $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}$ Directional Deformation $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}$ Animation $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}$.			
A: APL-A.4.7. Directional Deformation Type: Directional Deformation(X Axis) Unit: m Global Coordinate System Time: 1 18.03.2014 15:47 0,095733 Max 0,075491 0,054248 0,03005 0,011763 -0,00948 -0,030723 -0,051965 -0,073208 -0,073208 -0,0944511 Min			







F. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

F.1 Interpretation of results

It is observed that, despite the fact that the spring modeling was performed using a 1D body, the results obtained are suggestive, being presented in a 3D environment.

From the point of view of the total deformations, it is observed that the maximum value is 166 mm, corresponding to the extremity of the segment in the drive area.

It is observed that the areas with high shear and bending efforts are those corresponding to the connection areas between the spring propeller and the right segment.

The information regarding the deformations, corroborated with the information regarding the internal stresses, the combined maximum stresses lead to the conclusion that the spring withstands loads without problems, the values of the maximum stresses not exceeding 6.5×10^8 Pa, value below the allowed material limit. Particular attention must be paid to the connections at the outlet of the spring propeller at both ends, these two areas being important concentrators of stresses.

F.2 Prezentarea rezultatelor obținute prin metoda clasică

Known geometric parameters:

• d = 2.5 mm - the diameter of the coil;

• Dm = 50 mm - average diameter;

• n = 8.5 turns;

• $\Delta = 0.5$ mm, the clearance between turns.

Type of support area (support) and number of turns in this area: symmetrical outer hooks; connection radius, r = 2d; radius of action of the loading force, $R = D_m / 2 + r$.

Based on the constructive data of the spring in the figure above, the displacement and stiffness are calculated for a load M = 1,000 Nmm. The following values are obtained:

$$\theta_{n} = \frac{64 M_{tn} D_{m} n}{E d^{4}} = 213,14 \text{ grd}$$

$$k = \frac{E d^{4}}{64 D_{m} n} \frac{180}{\pi} = 4,7 \text{ Nmm/grd}$$



F.3 Comparative analysis of results

Using classical methods of Strength of Materials, the results are obtained by relatively simple calculations and can be compared with those obtained with MEF. On the other hand, by classical methods, very few results are obtained: only the angular displacement and the rigidity of the spring.

G. CONCLUZII / CONCLUSIONS

From the point of view of the pre-processing phase, it can be seen that the use of 1D bodies involves minimal resources for both modeling and discretization. Another strong point is that the profile of the spring can be modified / oriented very easily, without influencing the basic shape.

The introduction of supports, constraints and demands is quick and easy. The declaration of materials as well as discretization are controllable processes, which can be done automatically or manually.

Comparing the results obtained by the classical method and FEM, it can be seen that they are comparable, at least in the case of angular displacement, which was calculated classically, the finite element method providing much more data, over time and resource consumption much smaller.

It can be seen that the spring is very strongly stressed in the connection area, at the exit of the propeller towards the extremities. The modification of these areas and the recalculation by FEM is done in a very short time, being an easy procedure. On the other hand, the model for analysis can be changed very easily, and it can change the supports and the demands very easily. In the case of geometries imported from other modeling programs, the geometric model will have to be modified in the original software, which will lead to the resumption of the procedure from the beginning.

For example, the analysis model can be modified by introducing an additional constraint, represented by the obligation for the final segment of the spring in the moment (force) request area to move in a plane. This means that the spring will not be deformed on the Oz axis.





The results are almost identical to those obtained in the previous example. This is due to the fact that, by applying a moment via a Remote Point, the action of this request is required to take place only around the Oz axis, so it will only act in a plane parallel to xOy - equivalent to the newly imposed constraint in the second example.

Another model for analysis can be considered by replacing the moment applied to the spring with an imposed displacement of a certain angular value.

For this, the action of the moment will be suspended and an imposed angular displacement will be introduced.

$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{M}}, \operatorname{Outline}: \sqcup \overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}, \operatorname{Moment} \rightarrow \overrightarrow{\mathbf{M}} \operatorname{Suppress};$ $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{R}}, \operatorname{Supports} \bullet \rightarrow \overrightarrow{\mathbf{R}}, \operatorname{Remote Displacement} \rightarrow \operatorname{Details}$ of "Remote Displacements" $\rightarrow \operatorname{Scope} \rightarrow$ Commettee [with the colored with the second	A: APL-A.4.7. Static Structural Time: 1, s 18.03,2014 19:46 A Fixed Support B Remote Displacement		
the connecting circle between the spring			
propeller and the terminal segment at dimension			
0, using the selection filter $\mathbf{\overline{b}}$ (Edge)] \rightarrow Apply			
\rightarrow Definition \rightarrow X Component: Free, Y			
Component: Free, Z Component: 0, Rotation X:			
0, Rotation Y: 0, Rotation Z: -90°;			
$\Box $ Q Remote Displacement $\rightarrow \Box$ Promote Remote Point $\rightarrow \Box $ Q Remote Displacement - Remote Point \rightarrow Details of "Remote Point" \rightarrow Details of "Remote			
Displacement - Remote Point" \rightarrow Scope \rightarrow X Coordinate = 0, Y Coordinate = 0, Z Coordinate = 0 [the			
coordinates of the point P1 (0, 0, 0) made previously will be written] $\rightarrow \frac{\cancel{3}}{\cancel{5}}$ Solve.			
The results obtained, for an imposed displacement of -90°, are presented below.			

