# **Application: AEF-A.11** Compression strained springs

#### **KEY WORDS**

Linear Static Analysis, Linear Material, 3D Geometric Model, 3D Finite Element, Linear Finite Element, Classical Verification, Machine Element

#### CONTENT

- A. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION
- B. THE FEA MODEL
- C. PREPROCESSING OF THE FEA MODEL
- D. SOLVING THE FEA MODEL
- E. POSTPROCESING OF THE RESULTS
- F. ANALYZING OF THE RESULTS
- G. CONCLUSIONS

## A. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

#### A.1 Introduction

Many technical products contain mechanical elements that have distinct compact structures, required by the main function to be performed. Representative of this group of components are the elastic elements (springs), the damping elements, the supporting elements (housings), etc. The specificity of these elements, as a rule, is given by their fixed or quasi-fixed connections with the neighboring parts.

The finite element analysis of these components, in order to obtain precise results, presupposes the accurate definition of the solid model, of the restrictions imposed by the connections with the neighboring elements, as well as of the loads.

#### A.2 Application description

Safety valves are designed to protect tanks, pipes, boilers, boilers or other equipment containing pressurized fluids. These prevent pressure limits from being exceeded when all automatic control and monitoring equipment no longer operates.

Many safety valves (see the figures below, Spring safety valve, Fi-Fi brass body, PN 16, DN ½ "... 3", <u>http://www.prestcom-instal.ro</u>, accessed Apr. 2014) have in composition of active elastic elements used to obtain elastic characteristics imposed by the functional requirements. In this case, by changing the coil spring inside the valve, valves with different operating characteristics can be made.

The helical spring has the role of generating an axial force that compensates the force generated by the fluid pressure inside the installation and when the latter increases, the spring will compress by opening the exhaust circuit.

The coil spring used must comply with certain geometrical constraints (to fit within the available space) and to operate (to ensure the force necessary for the operation of the installation, to compress when an overpressure occurs, to generate a sufficiently large stroke so that the section of the circuit is suitable for emergency evacuation and, last but not least, return to working order after restoration of working pressure).



In this application it is presented the analysis of the fields of displacements, deformations and tensions in the structure of the elastic element of helical spring type in the valve composition presented above (PN 16, DN 3/4 ") as well as the values of forces generated by compressing the spring with a certain displacement. which oppose the opening of the valve at nominal working pressures. The values of the geometric and mounting parameters of the helical spring are: d = 2 mm, D1 = 17 mm, the number of turns n = 5 and the pitch t = 5.75 mm. The coil spring is made of spring steel, 50VCr11A, treated at 50-55 HRC.

Axial compression of the spring (3) with the screw (6) in the drawing above will generate a force that compensates for the pressure inside the container on the front surface of the valve piston (according to the product data sheet, the valve piston surface is 283 mm2).

This application monitors the value of the dependence between the value of the compression of the spring and the force generated on the valve piston, in order to design the valve as well as the study of internal stresses in the spring to check if the material meets the operating requirements.



## **B. THE FEA MODEL**

#### **B.1** The model definition

In order to draw up the finite element analysis model associated with the above application, it is necessary to identify:

• geometric shape and dimensions,

- restrictions induced by links with adjacent elements,
- external and internal loads (own weight),
- material characteristics.

### **B.2** The analysis model description

The geometric shape and dimensions of the helical spring are shown in the adjacent figure. For the analysis, the structure of the helical spring is modeled with 3D finite elements.

In order to simulate the behavior of the helical spring as close as possible to reality, taking into account the increased rigidity of the surfaces on which the spring is placed, two associated rigid elements are introduced. In order for the analysis model to have the same behavior as the real model, it is necessary to associate boundary conditions that involve translation constraints according to the X and Z directions of the XYZ coordinate system, respectively only motion will be allowed on OY, simulating the placement of the helical arc in the valve seat. In order to generate the translational movement along the OY axis, a rotational translation coupling is introduced associated with the master point of the rigid element at the bottom, corresponding to the point of application of the force.



For finite element analysis, the strength characteristics of the 50VCr11A spring steel material treated at 50-55 HRC are:

- modulus of longitudinal elasticity, E = 209,000 N / mm2;
- transverse contraction coefficient (Poisson), v = 0.3.

# C. PREPROCESSING OF FEA MODEL



C.2 Modelling of material and environment characteristics							
∧ Project Schematic: L→	Properti	es of Outline Row 3: Structural Steel				12	×
Similar Contractions of the second s		A	В	с	D	Е	
Outline of Schematic A2: Engineering Data	1	Property	Value	Unit	8	φə	
Structural Steel	2	🔁 Density	7850	kg m^-3	-		
Properties of Outline Row 3: Structural Steel	3	Botropic Secant Coefficient of Thermal Expansion Expansion					
	6	🖃 🚰 Isotropic Elasticity					
$\blacksquare$ Isotropic Elasticity $\rightarrow$ Young's Modulus,	7	Derive from	Young's 💌				
Young's Modulus, [select from column C (Unit)	8	Young's Modulus	2,09E+11	Pa	•		
cu IV IMPal [input in hox from column B	9	Poisson's Ratio	0,3				
cu = ], = [input in box from column B		Bulk Modulus	1,7417E+11	Pa			
(Unit) valoarea / value, $209000 ] \rightarrow \downarrow$	11	Shear Modulus	8,0385E+10	Pa			
🗲 Update Project 🔄 🗲 Return to Project (the	12	🗉 📔 Alternating Stress Mean Stress	🔢 Tabular				
$\rightarrow = -$ (the	16	🗉 🚼 Strain-Life Parameters					
other parameters remain the default).		🔁 Tensile Yield Strength	2,5E+08	Pa	-		
	1		1	-	- I	1	





area)  $\rightarrow$  Apply  $\rightarrow$  Twist Specification  $\blacksquare$ : Turns  $\rightarrow$  Turns = 1  $\rightarrow$ 









# **D. SOLVING THE FEA MODEL**

D.1 Setting the convergence criterion for solving the model						
$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{A}}$ Outline : $\rightarrow$ , $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{A}}$ , $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{A}}$ , $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{A}}$ Solution Information, Details of "Solution Information",						
→ Solution Information : Jolution Output → [selecting from the list with J], JForce Convergence] (the force						
convergence criterion is adopted). These steps will be repeated and chosen "Displacements Convergence".						
D.2. Setting results						
Selecting the types of results						
In order to select the final data types to be analyzed after the launch of the calculation module, follow the						
series of commands presented below.						
$\bigcirc$ , Outline: $\Box \checkmark \bigcirc$ Solution (A6) $\rightarrow \Box$ Insert $\rightarrow \Box$ Deformation $\rightarrow \Box ^{\textcircled{G}}_{d}$ Total. [use the commands in the open						
command box with $\vdash$ ].						
The same result can be obtained by using commands:						
$ \downarrow \checkmark \checkmark \textcircled{\texttt{6}} \textbf{Solution (A6)} \rightarrow \downarrow \textbf{Insert} \rightarrow \textcircled{\texttt{6}} \textbf{Deformation} \checkmark \rightarrow \textcircled{\texttt{6}} \textbf{Total} [the buttons in the menu bars are used] and: $						
$ \sqsubseteq \neg \not \otimes $ Solution (A6) $\rightarrow \Box$ Insert $\rightarrow \ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $						
Next, the other types of results to be analyzed are set, respectively the reactions in the supports:						
D.3 Launching the solving module						
$\textcircled{Outline}: \longrightarrow \textcircled{Analysis Settings} \rightarrow \textcircled{Details of "Analysis Settings"} \rightarrow \textbf{Solver Controls} \rightarrow Large Deflection \square: On$						
$\rightarrow$ , $\swarrow$ Solution (A6) $\rightarrow$ $\stackrel{\checkmark}{\rightarrow}$ Solve						

E.1 Viewing the d	lisplacement field					
For suggestive results, set the view scale of the menu b	ars:					
Result 8,6e+002 (Auto Scale) ▼ → Result 1.0 (True Scale)						
Total deformation viewing						
$\mathbf{M}$ , Outline : $\mathbf{M}$ Solution (A6) $\mathbf{M}$ Total Deformation	$^{\text{on}} \rightarrow \text{Tab-ul} \xrightarrow{\text{Graph}} \rightarrow \overset{\text{Animation}}{\blacktriangleright} \blacksquare$					
If the images are not suggestive enough, in terms of ho	w the work is distorted, you can return to changing the					
display scale by selecting a higher value: Result 1,7e+00	03 (2x Auto)					
Various forms of distorted state representation can	be used by calling the 🥬 (Edge) button. Show					
Underformed WireFrame will be selected, an option that displays the undeformed and warped models in the						
same representation. Same representation. Same representation. No WireFrame Show Undeform Show Undeform Show Undeform Show Elements The display characteristics can be changed: the number time of the simulation $2 \text{ Sec (Auto)}$ . At the same <i>Export Video File</i> command . <i>Visualization of the deformation in one direction</i> Modeling Solution (A6) $\rightarrow -\sqrt{2}$ Directional Deform If you want to view it in another direction, follow the second Modeling Solution (A6) $\rightarrow -\sqrt{2}$ Directional Deform If you want to view it in another direction, follow the second Definition $\rightarrow 0$ rientation $X$ Axis $\rightarrow \sqrt{2}$ Solve	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline \ & \ &$					
Total Deformations Dir	rectional Deformation					
Solution (A6) Total Deformation	$\overline{\textcircled{0}}$ Solution (A6) $\longrightarrow$ $$ Directional Deformation $\longrightarrow$ Graph					
$Graph \rightarrow Animation \blacktriangleright \blacksquare . \rightarrow$	Animation					
A: APL-A.4.8. Total Deformation Type: Total Deformation Unit: mm Time: 5 13.04.2014 18:40 15,027 Max 13,357 11,687 10,018 8,3482 6,6785 5,0089 3,3393 1,6696 0 Min	A: APL-A.4.8. Directional Deformation Type: Directional Deformation(X Axis) Unit: mm Global Coordinate System Time: 5 13.04.2014 18:43 0,61919 Max 0,47643 0,33367 0,1909 0,0481411 -0,094623 -0,23739 -0,38015 -0,52291 -0,66568 Min					



A: APL-A.4.8.	Tabular Da	ta			
12.04.2014 12:13	Time [s]	Force Reaction (X	N Force Reaction (Y) N	Force Reaction (Z) [N	Force Reaction (Total) 🛛
	1 1,75	-2,2517e-002	-34,33	-3,3442	34,493
	2 2,25	-4,279e-002	-44,059	-4,3879	44,277
7	3 2,75	-7,1138e-002	-53,753	-5,4735	54,031
	4 3,5	-0,13174	-68,226	-7,1793	68,603
	5 4,	-0,18594	-77,828	-8,3664	78,277
	6 4,5	-0,25271	-87,392	-9,5883	87,916
	7 5.	-0.33295	-96.916	-10.839	97.521
oment reaction in suppor	<u>t</u>				
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac$	<u>rt</u> V Momen	t Reaction $\rightarrow G$	raph $\rightarrow$ Tabular D	ata.	
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{60}} \frac{1}{2} $	<u>t</u> Momen	t Reaction $\rightarrow G$	raph $\rightarrow$ Tabular D	ata.	T Harrison David Strate D David
$\begin{array}{c} \text{oment reaction in support} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \\ \hline$	Tabular Data	t Reaction $\rightarrow G$	raph $\rightarrow$ Tabular D	Moment Reaction (Z) [N-mm]	Moment Reaction (Total) [N·mm
$\frac{\text{poment reaction in support}}{\text{Solution (A6)}} \rightarrow \frac{\text{Restore}}{\text{Restore}}$	<u>t</u> Momen Tabular Data Time [s] V Mu 1, 1, 75 2, 25 109, 6 109, 7 109, 6 109, 6 109, 7 109, 6 109, 7 109, 6 109, 7 109,	t Reaction $\rightarrow G$	raph $\rightarrow$ Tabular D $\boxed{V}$ Moment Reaction (Y) N·mm1 $\boxed{S}$ 5,0396 $\leftarrow$	Moment Reaction (Z) [N-mm] 9,646 3,599	Moment Reaction (Total) [Nmr 100,34
$\begin{array}{c} \text{oment reaction in support} \\ \hline & \bullet \bullet$	<u>t</u> Momen Momen Time [s] ▼ Mx 1 1,75 87,05 2 2,25 109,6 3 2,75 131,0	t Reaction $\rightarrow G$	raph $\rightarrow$ Tabular D Moment Reaction (Y) N·mml 5,0396 6,5898 8,2072 	Moment Reaction (Z) [N·mm] 9,646 3,599 7,444	Moment Reaction (Total) [N-mr 100,34 126,93
oment reaction in support     •	Image     Momen       Tabular Data     Time [s]     Momen       1,75     87,05     2,25     109,6       3     2,75     131,0     4,3,5     160,9	t Reaction $\rightarrow G$ ment Reaction (X) [N·mm]	raph $\rightarrow$ Tabular D Moment Reaction (Y) N·mml 5,0396 6,5898 8,2072 10,713 	Ata. Moment Reaction (2) [N-mm] 9,646 3,599 7,444 7,993	Moment Reaction (Total) [N-mn 100,34 126,93 152,48 188,7
$\begin{array}{c} \text{pment reaction in support} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \hline & & \\ \hline \hline & & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \\$	Image     Momen       Time [s]     Momen       1     1.75     87.05       2     2,25     109,6       3     2,75     131,0'       4     3,5     160,9       5     4,     179,0'	t Reaction $\rightarrow$ G	raph $\rightarrow$ Tabular D $\overline{}$ Moment Reaction (Y) N*mml $\phantom{aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa$	Moment Reaction (2) [N·mm] 9,646 3,599 7,444 7,993 11,52	✓ <u>Moment Reaction (Total) [N-mn</u> 100,34 126,93 152,48 188,7 211,3
$\frac{\text{coment reaction in support}}{\text{Solution (A6)}} \xrightarrow{\text{Coment reaction (A6)}} \xrightarrow{\text{Coment reaction (A6)}}$	Image: figure state     Momen       Time [s]     ✓ Mt       1,775     87,05       2,225     109,6       3,2,75     131,00       4,3,5     160,9       5     4,5     195,6	t Reaction $\rightarrow$ G	raph $\rightarrow$ Tabular D $\overline{}$ 5,0396 6,5898 8,2072 10,713 12,445 14,194	Ata. Moment Reaction (Z) [N-mm] 9,646 3,599 7,444 7,993 11,52 24,9	Moment Reaction (Total) [N-mm 100,34 126,93 152,48 188,7 211,3 232,57



## F. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS



From the point of view of the deformations in the area 0...15 mm, it is observed that the graph is a straight line segment, so in this interval the spring works in the elastic zone of the deformations. The value of the force generated by the spring can be extracted from the graph, depending on the value of its deformation.

For example, at a spring compression of 10 mm, the force generated on the valve piston is about 65 N. According to the technical data of the safety valve analyzed, the valve seat has a front surface of 283 mm<sup>2</sup>. According to the relation p = F / S, the value of the nominal pressure obtained by the valve is obtained: p = 2.3 bar. For a spring compression of 15 mm, the operating pressure becomes p = 3.4 bar.

Because the maximum compression of this spring depends on the pitch, the number of turns, and the diameter of the turn:

 $x = (p - d) \cdot n = (5,75 - 2) \cdot 5 = 18,75 mm,$ 

it can be concluded that this valve will operate in a range of working pressures between 0.7 barr (corresponding to a 2 mm spring compression) and 3.75 barr (for 16 mm compression).

#### F.2 Accuracy and convergence analysis

The information regarding the deformations, corroborated with the information regarding the equivalent stresses, the structural error, the convergence of the solutions lead to the conclusion that the spring withstands the loads without problems, the values of the maximum stresses not exceeding the allowed limit value of the material. Increased attention must be paid to the connections at the exit of the spring propeller, at both ends, these two areas being important concentrators of stresses and a discretization finish is required, here appearing the maximum structural errors. The much lower values of the structural error field (max 0.107 mJ, subchapter E.2) indicate that the stress values are close to the exact ones. In addition, from subchapter. E.3 highlights the fast convergence (25 steps) of the model solving algorithm and the calculation time is reduced.

# G. CONCLUZII / CONCLUSIONS

In order to use the valve for higher ranges of working pressures (its body withstanding pressures of 16 barr), it is necessary to change the spring with some with different characteristics: either with a larger coil diameter or better materials.

To demonstrate the concept, the diameter of the coil will be changed from 2 mm to 2.5 mm, as follows:



Repeat the steps for Sweep3. The spring in the figure from above will be obtained. Next, the analysis operations will be performed according to the steps presented above.

0  $\rightarrow$  File  $\rightarrow$  Refresh All Data  $\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$  Solve.

 $\square \neg \sqrt{2}$  Solution (A6)  $\rightarrow \neg \neg \sqrt{2}$  Force Reaction  $\rightarrow$  Graph  $\rightarrow$  Tabular Data.

A: APL-A.4.8.	-	Tabular Data				
Force Reaction	Wite.					
13.04.2014 22:14	and the second sec	Time [s]	Force Reaction (X) [N]	Force Reaction (Y) [N]	Force Reaction (Z) [N]	Force Reaction (Total) [N]
		1 0,5	-4,4687e-003	-24,4	-2,2287	24,501
		2 1,	-1,9466e-002	-48,712	-4,5406	48,923
		3 1,75	-6,717e-002	-85,019	-8,1745	85,411
		4 2,25	-0,11957	-109,11	-10,713	109,64
	i - 1	5 2,75	-0,19182	-133,12	-13,346	133,79
	Walio Contraction	5 3,5	-0,3436	-168,97	-17,471	169,87
	and the second second	7 4,	-0,47781	-192,76	-20,33	193,83
	1	3 4,5	-0,6425	-216,45	-23,265	217,7
	4	9 5,	-0,8382	-240,05	-26,259	241,49

Based on the data obtained by simulating a 15 mm compression, the characteristic of the 2.5 mm diameter coil spring is obtained. The maximum deformation of this spring is 16.25 mm. Depending on the characteristics of this spring, the nominal working pressures will be in the range (1,2; 8,4) barr. It can be seen that for any spring, following a fairly simple analysis, it can be checked whether it will work properly but the values of the nominal working pressures can also be estimated.

